

Rindge Conservation Commission

Site Review Report Form (by Board/Commission)

(Appendix B of Conservation Commission Rules of Procedure)

Date of Review	May 21, 2019 - 6:00 pm
Date of Report	May 22, 2019
Owner's Name	Earl Robertson
Assessor's Map/Lot No. Street Address of Site	Map 21 Lot 18 14 Robertson Rd
Board/Dept. Requesting Review	Conservation Commission
Conservation Commission Members Present During the Review	David Drouin, Joseph Desruisseaux, Rob Rubendall, Fred Rogers, Richard Mellor, Phil Simeone, Al Lefebvre
Others present during the Review	Earl Robertson
Purpose for This Review	To review the site of new construction to replace an old building in order to render an opinion to the BOA regarding the special exception to expand a nonconforming grandfathered structure.

<p>Conclusions of the Conservation Commission</p>	<p>The Commission reviewed the site and compared it with the plan as presented. The Commission had concerns with the following: Mr. Robertson indicated that he was going to rotate the building 3 feet in another direction but the plan does not show the new orientation or how the existing footprint will be expanded and its proposed covered porch will be reoriented in relation to the required shoreline setback.</p> <p>The plan does not show the existing building superimposed on the proposed structure. The plan does not show the dimensions from the current building perimeter to the shoreline. The plan does not show the final proposed setbacks. The proposed plan shows no septic connection from the new construction to the septic system. Mr. Robertson indicated that a second septic tank and its connecting pipes might be added, but these are not shown on the plan. The additional tank, and its connecting pipes, as a result, may come within the fifty (50) foot setback and require a variance. The proposed building's sanitary facilities will be within the 50-foot shoreline setback. The Commission questioned if changing/moving the existing structure removes any grandfather conditions?</p>
<p>Votes (if Quorum) or Decisions Made by the Conservation Commission</p>	<p>The Commission agreed with the findings as explained in the previous section. The Commission agreed that the plans were incomplete and that there was not enough information to render an opinion to the BOA. The Commission agreed to revisit the plans after they are updated, if they are resubmitted to the BOA in accordance with the BOA ROPS so that the RCC can render an opinion.</p>
<p>Plan Reference (Number & Date):</p>	
<p><i>Other Notes:</i></p>	